Translation







PCT

537,730

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 97 940/Ja:Bu	TOR FOR FURTHER ACTION mm normprise						
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority da		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2003/012731	14 November 2003	(14.11.2003)	05 December 2002 (05.12.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC F03B 17/06							
Applicant BUTTLER, Ernst							
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of		cluding this cover	sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority	H Priority						
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of in	vention						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited							
VII Certain defects in the international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report					
29 May 2004 (29.05.2004)		16 September 2004 (16.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/012731

I. Basis	of the report			
1. With	regard to the elements of	f the international application:*		
	the international applica		•	
\boxtimes	the description:			
	pages	1-1	12	
	20000			, as originally file
				, filed with the deman
\boxtimes	the claims:		, mod with the letter of _	
	pages	4.0		
	pages	4-2		, as originally file
	F-8-5			with any statement under Article 1
	pages	1	filed with the laws of	, filed with the deman
\boxtimes	the drawings:		, med with the letter of	02 July 2004 (02.07.2004)
	nages	1/6	. 10	
	nagec	1/6-6		, as originally file
	pages		El a lucia a como	, filed with the demand
☐ th	e sequence listing part of	Salan dan da	, filed with the letter of	
	naces			
				, as originally filed
				filed with the 1
TT 7".1			, filed with the letter of	Authority in the language in which
With a prelimi	the language of the trans or 55.3). regard to any nucleotide nary examination was care contained in the internation illed together with the international subsequently to the statement that the international application as	le and/or amino acid sequence rried out on the basis of the sequence onal application in written form. ernational application in compute this Authority in written form. this Authority in computer readal subsequently furnished written is filed has been furnished.	(under Rule 48.3(b)). s of international preliminary of the disclosed in the international preliminary of the disclosed in the international process of the disclosed in the international preliminary of the disclosed in the disclosed	examination (under Rule 55.2 and onal application, the international on the international of the written sequence listing has
The beginner of this rand 70.11	the description, pag the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets is report has been establi- youd the disclosure as file then the sheets which have be export as "originally file 7).	een furnished to the receiving Of ed" and are not annexed to th	fice in response to an invitation is report since they do not c	they have been considered to go n under Article 14 are referred to ontain amendments (Rule 70.16
		such amendments must be referre	ed to under item 1 and annexed	to this report.
n PC1/1	PEA/409 (Box I) (July 19	998)		

NO

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting		y, inventive step or industrial appli	cability;
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 4-22	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1, 4-22	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1, 4-22	YES

2. Citations and explanations

1. The new independent claim 1 contains the features of the original claims 1-3.

Claims

The present application relates to a hydropower plant for generating electricity.

US-A-4 868 408 is regarded as the closest prior art. Said document describes a hydropower unit with a rotor, a generator and a float for a hydraulic turbine, the hydraulic turbine being held below the surface of the water in a floating state and also optionally being acted upon by a gaseous medium or flooded with water.

Said document does not disclose adjustable rotor blades and a rotor axis designed as a hollow axis. Therefore, the new claim 1 is novel.

None of the cited documents contains anything that suggests, for a hydropower plant, designing the rotor axis, which serves as a float for the hydraulic turbine, also as a hollow axis.

Therefore, claim 1 involves an inventive step.

The subject matter of claim 1 can be manufactured and used industrially for or together with hydropower plants.

Dependent claims 4-22 define preferred embodiments of the hydropower plant in claim 1 and therefore satisfy the criteria for novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability in the same way.

- 2. The embodiment of the rotor axis as a hollow axis is an essential feature of the new independent claim 1. Owing to the embodiment in the description on page 9, lines 24-26, according to which in several embodiments the rotor axis is not designed as a hollow axis, the application contains embodiments that do not come under the valid claim 1. This contradiction between claim 1 and the description causes doubt as to the subject matter for which protection is sought, and therefore this claim lacks clarity (PCT Article 6).
- 3. The applicant has not indicated any reasons why the claim should not be drafted in the two-part form. Furthermore, he has not indicated clearly in the description what features of the subject matter of claim 1 are already known from US-A-4 868 408; see PCT Guidelines, paragraph III-2.3a.
- 4. The description has not been brought in line with the new independent claim 1.
- 5. Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description neither cites US-A-4 868 408 nor indicates the relevant prior art disclosed therein.

6. Because dependent claims 2 and 3 have been deleted, the back references in the remaining dependent claims 4-22 no longer correspond to their numbering.